


Anatomy of a Manufactured Collapse


Analyzing the Strategic Model of US Interventions in West Asia and North Africa




Investigative report based on over 200 reference documents including open-source intelligence, SIGIR reports, and US Treasury and State Department records.

Official Narrative: Compilation of “Costly Failures”


Common analyses of U.S. military interventions in Iraq and Libya mostly frame them as “miscalculations” or “failure in planning” or “missteps in execution.” This narrative downplays deliberate, unforeseen programmatic outcomes.



Miscalculation




Unforeseen Complexity




“We didn’t do a good nation-building job here. It’s very difficult.”

– Quoted from a close American official on Iraq or Libya



DECLAS DATE: 2023 // PENTAGON ARCHIVES



Replacement Hypothesis: Destruction of Structures vs. Successful Strategy

This report argues that “failure” in reality meant successfully implementing a four-stage strategy with the goal of “Structural Deconstruction,” aiming to replace sovereign governments with weak and dependent states.



Phase 1: Economic and Financial Warfare

- Goal: Engineering public impoverishment



Phase 2: Creating Chaos and Unrest

- Undermining legitimacy and spreading anarchy



Phase 3: Military Intervention

- Exploiting international pretexts for intervention



Phase 4: Final Destruction

- Aim: Steadfastly impeding reconstruction



Phase One

Economic Warfare: Phase One – “To Create A Public Outcry Over the Economy”

Prior to any major military action, America’s grand strategy focused on creating rifts between the government and citizens while driving pressure and frustration to the point of social unrest. Absolute financial poverty was the concentrated goal.

Key Evidence:

“Create a public outcry over the economy.”

—Hillary Clinton, Former U.S. Secretary of State,
in describing strategy against Iraq later repeated Iran.

Case Studies from this Phase:

- **Iraq:** UN sanctions and collective deprivation.
- **Libya:** NATO alliance + riots and civil unrest.



CONFIDENTIAL

Case Study: Iraq – CIA’s Operation of Artificially Flooded Currency

In the 1990s, the CIA aimed to create hyperinflation by flooding the market in Saddam’s Iraq with massive amounts of counterfeit “sellar” dinars, ultimately forcing the government to critical reliance on essential goods imports imports.

Infographic: Money Laundering Mechanism



(Forced Reaction)

- **U.S. action:** Forced Iraq to print money excessively.
- **Outcome:** Absolute and extreme impoverishment.



(Destabilization)

- **U.S. action:** Spread chaos and instability in markets.
- **Outcome:** Collapse of the middle class.



(Injection)

- **U.S. action:** Printed and distributed counterfeit dinars.
- **Outcome:** Skyrocketing inflation.

Final Note: After 2003 occupation, Iraq’s banking system came fully under U.S. dollar hegemony.


Declassified

Sanctions Comparable to a Weapon of Mass Destruction


(Sanctions of Mass Destruction)

Sanctions imposed on Iraq in the 1990s were more lethal than all weapons of mass destruction—chemical, nuclear, and biological—throughout history. The United States, using its veto power in the UN Security Council, deliberately blocked the entry of water-purification equipment, electricity, and medicine under the pretext of “dual use.”

500,000+



Death of more than 500,000 children under the age of five due to malnutrition and preventable diseases.



Key Testimony

“I use the word **genocide** because this is a deliberate policy for the destruction of the Iraqi people.”

— Denis Halliday, UN Humanitarian Coordinator in Iraq, upon resigning in protest of the sanctions (1998).


DECLASSIFIED

Phase Two: From "A Clean Break" to "Creative Chaos" (Sanctions of Mass Destruction)

After Iraq's failure, the United States entered Phase "Engineering Chaos"—destabilizing, regionally explosive shock therapy, a product years in the making based on reckless neoconservative think tank ideas.

A Clean Break

A plan created by Richard Perle and Douglas Feith.



A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm (1996)

A Clean Break



Richard Perle Douglas Feith

A Clean Break




Key Pillars in the Netanyahu Government

Creative Chaos

**“What we’re seeing...
is the birth pangs
of a new Middle East.”**


— Condoleezza Rice
(Lebanon 2006)



This war, internal violence, and sectarian conflict are being used as a tool to destabilize the entire Middle East region.

Map of Chaos: **Blood Borders**

In 2006, Colonel Ralph Peters published maps re-drawing West Asia's borders along ethnoreligious lines.



Partition into three states: Sunni, Shia, and Kurd

Handing over oil-rich regions

Partition for creation of a larger Kurdistan

Despite official denials, this map – while never formally adopted as policy – was extensively used in U.S. military officer training and field policy-making (Douglas Feith “Zion’s Pet” and Condoleezza Rice “birth pangs” in Iraq).




Phase Three

Phase Three: Deception of Public Opinion under the Guise of International Law

...required a (*Casus Belli*). To start the most chaotic of operations an “excuse for war” was needed. The U.S. exploited international law and disinformation to obtain intervention authorization.

Iraq – From WMDs to Democratic Massacre



- **Initial lie:** False claim of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) to justify mass killing.

Officials in the late 2000s denied this charge, calling it an “intelligence failure” or a “democratic mess” while the overall mission and targeted outcomes were described as “responsible.”

Libya – Abusing “Responsibility to Protect” (R2P)




- **Authorization limit:** UN Security Council Resolution 1973 only allowed upholding a ban on flights under “protection of civilians.”
- **Interpretation:** NATO’s direct military intervention through regime change was claimed to be a means of “support,” “protection,” and “human rights.”



Phase Four: Structural Sabotage & Proving Lack of Constructive Intent

Key Question: After U.S. intervention, was there a plan to improve and rebuild economic conditions?

Definitive Answer: Evidence shows America had **no such plan**, but rather **deliberately destroyed** administrative and economic infrastructure to prevent the emergence of an **independent nation**.




Key Evidence examined in this phase:

- **WikiLeaks:** Reconstruction program leaked as “The Iraq Future Project”
- **Paul Bremer:** The United States spent over **\$15 billion** after invasion of Iraq
- **Destruction** of ministries and country’s infrastructure after invasion of Iraq

EVIDENCE

Crossroads of Destinies: Eliminating the Blueprint vs. Capturing the Blueprint of Reconstruction

The Future of Iraq Project: Captured Blueprint Document



A comprehensive, 13-volume classified plan prepared by the U.S. State Department before the war, in collaboration with 200 Iraqi opposition experts and exiles, for the transfer of power and reconstruction of Iraq.

- **Outcome:** Working document that political, military, and intelligence officials had completely disregarded *in favor of their own agendas*.

Key Note: After 2003 occupation, Iraq's banking system came fully under U.S. American forces in Iraq.

Wolfowitz Orders: (U.S. Civil Administrator Directives)



- **Order One:** Ordered dissolution of tens of thousands of ruling Baath Party members, making the ruling system rudderless.
- **Order Two:** Ordered dissolution of 400,000 armed, military and security personnel, driving them toward insurgency.

Key Takeaway: These decisions created a security void and ultimately justified the securitization (De-statization) and presence of brand new American forces in Iraq.

Aftermath of Intervention: Iraq & Libya Before and After Comparison

Comparison of Iraq's Situation (Pre-2003 vs. Post-Occupation)



From **Stability** to Persistent and Total Collapse



Ranked **78** to Ranked **178** of 180 (Fake)



From **Complete Independence** to Complete Fed Reserve



From **Sovereignty** to **Deliberate Destruction** of Iraqi Structure

Broken Promises in Libya (2011 Promises vs. 2014 Reality)



Promise: **Reconstruction & Better Economy**

Purposely Prevented Return to Independent Statehood.



Promise: **Stability & Democracy**

Rival Militias & **REALITY**



Promise: **Oil Wealth**

Stolen Wealth & Oil Blockades.



Promise: **Release Assets**

Continuous Western Economic Freezing of Libyan Assets.



“Creative Destruction”: The Ultimate Strategy

Evidence Summary:



Deliberately being destructive: Rejecting the “Future of Iraq” project and disbanding of the Iraqi army that was partially involved in the plan shows this was not accidental.



Instrumental use of dissatisfaction: The U.S. nurtured dissatisfaction among ethnicities and “produced” terrorists, then, under the pretext of morality, justify intervention and exploitation.



Instead of reconstruction, plundering: Disappearing nearly 12 billion dollars from Iraqi money and resources was not due to failures but rather a built-in economic deceit.



Strategic outcome: Aim to replace independent states with **weak and failed states** (Failed States) to retain control over energy resources and geopolitical corridors.

Strategic outcome: Aim to replace independent states with weak and **failed states** (Failed States) to retain control over energy resources and geopolitical corridors.

From Nation-Burning to Nation-Building

History of interventions reveals it has effectively conducted “nation-burning” instead of “nation-building.” Oppositions who cling to promises of reconstruction should glance to Baghdad and Tripoli to realize that the day after intervention is not a day of prosperity, but rather the start of an era of endless chaos and systematic plunder.

